Implication Conditions as Variability Model The *undertaker* approach to KConfig

Reinhard Tartler, Christian Dietrich & Christoph Egger

Department of Computer Science 4 Distributed Systems and Operating Systems

University of Erlangen-Nuremberg

March 9, 2010





About us

Members of the DFG VAMOS Research project:

- Reinhard Tartler
- Christian Dietrich
- Christoph Egger



Motivation and Context

- Kconfig: The Feature Model of Linux [OPLC-OSSPL 2007]
- Linux with its over 10000 features is a perfect candidate for variability analysis [EuroSys 2011]
- Implementation is developed independently from the Feature Model



The undertaker approach

Existing, FOSD agnostic, software is analyzed for variation points:



undertaker extracts propositional formulas



Classification of Configuration Defects

```
diff --git a/kernel/smp.c b/kernel/smp.c
--- a/kernel/smp.c
+++ b/kernel/smp.c
```

-#ifdef CONFIG_CPU_HOTPLUG +#ifdef CONFIG_HOTPLUG_CPU

Patch for a symbolic defect



Classification of Configuration Defects

```
diff --git a/kernel/smp.c b/kernel/smp.c
--- a/kernel/smp.c
+++ b/kernel/smp.c
```

```
-#ifdef CONFIG_CPU_HOTPLUG
+#ifdef CONFIG_HOTPLUG_CPU
```

Patch for a symbolic defect

Patch for a logical defect



Our results so far from the Dead Block Analysis

subsystem	#ifdefs	logic	symbolic	total
arch/	33757	345	581	926
drivers/	32695	88	648	736
fs/	3000	4	13	17
include/	7241	6	11	17
kernel/	1412	7	2	9
mm/	555	0	1	1
net/	2731	1	49	50
sound/	3246	5	10	15
virt/	53	0	0	0
other subsystems	601	4	1	5
\sum	85291	460	1316	1776
fix proposed		150 (1)	214 (22)	364 (23)
confirmed defect		38 (1)	116 (20)	154 (21)
confirmed rule-violation		88 (0)	21 (2)	109 (2)
pending		24 (0)	77 (0)	101 (0)



Conclusions

Undertaker finds and buries real problems

- Over 100 patches submitted!
- has already received rave reviews by kernel developers and even the Linux Magazine [LM 04/11]
- Not really Linux specific
 - CPP annotated code is pretty common in e.g. system software
 - Configuration Models are often hard to access
 - \Rightarrow Need to write variability extractors for other projects
- Underapproximations are totally sufficient
- The better the model, the better results, obviously



Conclusions (?)

Undertaker finds and buries real problems

- Over 100 patches submitted!
- has already received rave reviews by kernel developers and even the Linux Magazine [LM 04/11]
- Not really Linux specific
 - CPP annotated code is pretty common in e.g. system software
 - Configuration Models are often hard to access
 - \Rightarrow Need to write variability extractors for other projects
- Underapproximations are totally sufficient
- The better the model, the better results, obviously



Variability extraction for Kconfig

- Kconfig is a tool and language to describe variability
- Used by both developers and users to configure a specific variant
- Text and graphical front ends are available
- Features some very tricky semantics!
- Extraction goal is a set of rules, which describes the semantic:

 $\texttt{SYMBOL} \rightarrow \texttt{IMPLICATION_CONDITION}$

Slices of the model can be extracted!



Dependencies

- Dependencies are the easiest possibility way in Kconfig to express relations
- In Kconfig dependencies must always be fullfiled
- <u>config</u> HAVE_ARCH_ALLOC_REMAP <u>depends</u> on NUMA && X86_32
- When HAVE_ARCH_ALLOC_REMAP is enabled the dependencies must also be satisfied: HAVE_ARCH_ALLOC_REMAP → (NUMA ∧ X86_32)
- This only handles the boolean case.
 Tristate is more complicated, but very similar



Choices

- A choice gathers multiple other config options, were only one option is enabled
- Choices have no names, and can't be referenced, so we have to generate artificial keys.

choice "High Memory Support" <u>config</u> HIGHMEM4G [...] # These are 2 <u>config</u> HIGHMEM64G [...] # alternatives <u>endchoice</u>

- CHOICE_0 → HIGHMEM4G \oplus HIGHMEM64G HIGHMEM4G → CHOICE_0 HIGHMEM64G → CHOICE_0
- This only handles the boolean choices, tristates are *much* more complicated



Choices - optional

- A choice gathers multiple other config options, were only one or no option is enabled
- Choices have no names, and can't be referenced, so we have to generate artificial keys.

- $\label{eq:choice_o} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Choice_o} \rightarrow \mbox{Highmem4g} \oplus \mbox{Highmem64g} \oplus \mbox{--Free_-} \\ \mbox{Highmem4g} \rightarrow \mbox{Choice_o} & \mbox{Highmem64g} \rightarrow \mbox{Choice_o} \end{array}$
- This only handles the boolean choices, tristates are *much* more complicated



selects - Reverse Dependencies

- A selection means, that enabling an option can cause an other option to be enabled automatically
- In Kconfig selects don't obey dependencies, but this behaviour is deprecated, rarely used and will hopefully be removed in the future
- A select can be guarded with an expression, then the select is only done, when the expression is true

```
        config
        X86

        select
        HAVE_ARCH_GDB
        # X86 selects
        HAVE_ARCH_GDB

        select
        GENERIC_PENDING_IRC
        if SMP # only if SMP enabled
```

- **X86** \rightarrow HAVE_ARCH_GDB
- $X86 \rightarrow (\text{SMP} \rightarrow \text{GENERIC}_\text{PENDING}_\text{IRQ})$



selects - revisited

- selects do not only have a forward reference
- If an option can't be visible in the menu, and has no defaule value, it can only be enabled by selects
- One of the selecting options must be true:

<u>config</u> OLPC <u>select</u> GPIOLIB <u>config</u> ARCH_REQUIRE_GPIOLIB <u>select</u> GPIOLIB

- Here GPIOLIB is selected by two different options
- GPIOLIB \rightarrow (OLPC \lor ARCH_REQUIRE_GPIOLIB)
- Default values (which can be guarded with expressions) can also be handled in a similar manner



config options	10924	dependencies	6919
boolean	5665	selects	3792
tristate	4482	choices	53
other	1777	within choices	186

Table: Used features in Kconfig: Linux 2.6.37-rc8, x86

- 11690 implication conditions, with no Kconfig specific construct left
- In average 5.4 options are mentioned on the right side



Conclusions

- undertaker is conceptionally not Linux-specific
- Exploits domain knowledge,
 but presence implications are project agnostic
- Variability models with implication conditions don't have to be complete, they can be under approximated
- Published as Free Software at http://vamos.informatik.uni-erlangen.de/trac/undertaker
- Feel free to get in touch with us!



Conclusions

- undertaker is conceptionally not Linux-specific
- Exploits domain knowledge,
 but presence implications are project agnostic
- Variability models with implication conditions don't have to be complete, they can be under approximated
- Published as Free Software at http://vamos.informatik.uni-erlangen.de/trac/undertaker
- Feel free to get in touch with us!

Thank you for your attention!

